

#### Engineering, 2022, 14, 147-154 https://www.scirp.org/journal/eng ISSN Online: 1947-394X ISSN Print: 1947-3931

# On the Chromatic Number of (*P*<sub>5</sub>, *C*<sub>5</sub>, Cricket)-Free Graphs

# Weilun Xu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, China Email: xu1042086191@163.com

**How to cite this paper:** Xu, W.L. (2022) On the Chromatic Number of (*P*<sub>5</sub>, *C*<sub>5</sub>, Cricket)-Free Graphs. *Engineering*, **14**, 147-154. https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2022.143014

**Received:** March 1, 2022 **Accepted:** March 22, 2022 **Published:** March 25, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

# Abstract

For a graph *G*, let  $\chi(G)$  be the chromatic number of *G*. It is well-known that  $\chi(G) \ge \omega$  holds for any graph *G* with clique number  $\omega$ . For a hereditary graph class  $\mathscr{G}$ , whether there exists a function *f* such that  $\chi(G) \le f(\omega(G))$  holds for every  $G \in \mathscr{G}$  has been widely studied. Moreover, the form of minimum such an *f* is also concerned. A result of Schiermeyer shows that every  $(P_5, \text{cricket})$ -free graph *G* with clique number  $\omega$  has  $\chi(G) \le \omega^2$ . Chudnovsky and Sivaraman proved that every  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free with clique number  $\omega$  graph is  $2^{\omega-1}$ -colorable. In this paper, for any  $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$  -free graph *G* with clique number  $\chi(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right\rceil + \omega$ . The main methods in the proof are set partition and induction.

## **Keywords**

 $P_5$  -Free Graphs, Chromatic Number,  $\chi$  -Boundedness

# **1. Introduction**

In this paper, we consider undirected, simple graphs. For a given graph H, a graph G is called H-free if G contains no induced subgraphs isomorphic to H. Let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$   $(k \ge 2)$  be different graphs. If for any  $1 \le i \le k$ , G is  $H_i$ -free, then we say that G is  $(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k)$ -free. A graph G = (V, E) is k-colorable if there exists a function  $\varphi: V(G) \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that for any  $uv \in E(G)$ , there is  $\varphi(u) \ne \varphi(v)$ . The *chromatic number* of G is the minimum integer k such that G is k-colorable, denoted by  $\chi(G)$ . For a graph G = (V, E), a subset S of V(G) is called a clique if S induces a complete subgraph. We use  $\omega(G)$  to denote the maximum size of cliques of G. It is well-known that  $\omega(G) \le \chi(G)$  for every graph *G*. A graph is *perfect* if for any induced subgraph *G'* of *G*,  $\omega(G') = \chi(G')$ . Chudnovsky *et al.* [1] gave an equivalent characterization of perfect graphs, which is also called as the Strong Perfect Graph Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [1] A graph is perfect if and only if it contains neither odd cycles of length at least five nor the complements of these odd cycles.

We say a hereditary graph class  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\chi$ -bounded, if there exists a function f such that for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ . Moreover, f is called a  $\chi$ -binding function of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Erdös [2] showed that for arbitrary integers  $k, l \geq 3$ , there exists a graph G with girth at least l and  $\chi(G) \geq k$ , which implies that the class of H-free graphs is not  $\chi$ -bounded when H contains a cycle. Gyárfás conjectured that the graph class obtained by forbidding a tree (or forest) is  $\chi$ -bounded.

**Conjecture 1.2.** [3] Let *T* be a tree (or forest), then there exists a function  $f_T$  such that, for any *T*-free graph *G*,  $\chi(G) \leq f_T(\omega(G))$ .

Moreover, Gyárfás [3] verified this conjecture when  $T = P_k$ , and showed that  $f_T \leq (k-1)^{\omega(G)-1}$ . When  $T = P_5$ , Esperet *et al.* [4] gave a  $\chi$ -binding function of  $P_5$ -free graphs as following.

**Theorem 1.3.** [4] Suppose G is a  $P_5$ -free graph with clique number  $\omega \ge 3$ . Then  $\chi(G) \le 5 \cdot 3^{\omega-3}$ .

As far as we know, for  $\omega \ge 3$ ,  $f(\omega) = 5 \cdot 3^{\omega-3}$  is the optimal  $\chi$ -binding function of  $P_5$ -free graphs at present. Furthermore, determining a polynomial  $\chi$ -binding function of the class of  $P_5$ -free graphs is an open problem. A result in [5] shows that the class of *H*-free graphs has a linear  $\chi$ -binding function *f*, if and only if  $f(\omega) = \omega$  and *H* is an induced subgraph of  $P_4$ , which means that the class of  $P_5$ -free graphs has no linear  $\chi$ -binding function.

In this paper, we focus on subclasses of  $P_5$  -free graphs. While the class of  $P_5$  -free graphs has no linear  $\chi$  -binding function, some subclasses of  $P_5$  -free have linear  $\chi$  -binding functions.

Theorem 1.4. [6] [7] [8] [9] Suppose

 $H \in \{ diamond, gem, paraglider, paw \}$ , then the class of  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs has a  $\chi$ -binding function.

More formally, Chudnovsky *et al.* [6] proved that the class of  $(P_5, \text{gem})$ -free graphs has a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) \leq \left\lceil \frac{5}{4} \omega \right\rceil$ . Huang and Karthick [7]

showed that  $(P_5, \text{paraglider})$  graphs have a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) \leq \left| \frac{3}{2} \omega \right|$ .

Karthick and Maffray [8] gave a  $\chi$  -binding function  $f(\omega) = \omega + 1$  for  $(P_5, \text{diamond})$  -free graphs. And Randerath [9] showed that  $(P_5, \text{paw})$  -free graphs have a  $\chi$  -binding function  $f(\omega) = \omega + 1$  (diamond, gem, paraglider and paw are given in Figure 1).

It is worth noting that a result in [10] shows that when H contains an independent set with size at least 3, the class of  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs has no linear  $\chi$ -binding function.



Figure 1. Examples of diamond, gem, paraglider and paw.

**Theorem 1.5.** [10] The class of  $(2K_2, 3K_1)$ -free graphs has no linear  $\chi$ -binding function.

Obviously, when *H* is a graph with independent number at least 3,  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs is a superclass of  $(2K_2, 3K_1)$ -free graphs. Thus the class of  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs has no  $\chi$ -binding function.

The following theorem shows that some subclasses of  $P_5$ -free graphs have a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega+1\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  (The addition forbidden subgraphs are given in Figure 2).

**Theorem 1.6.** [10] [11] [12] The class of  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs has a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega+1\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  when  $H \in \{bull, house, hammer\}$ .

In [13], Schiermeyer proved that the class of  $(P_5, H)$ -free graphs has a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) = \omega^2$  for  $H \in \{\text{claw}, \text{cricket}, \text{dart}, \text{gem}+\}$  (see Figure 3).

In addition to the subclasses of  $P_5$  -free graphs we mentioned above, there are many subclasses had been proved that admit a polynomial  $\chi$  -binding function, which is given in [14] and [15]. More results on  $\chi$  -binding function, see [16].

The class of  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free graphs, which is a superclass of  $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$ -free graphs, has been studied by Chudnovsky and Sivaraman [11]. They showed that every  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free graph with clique number  $\omega$  is  $2^{\omega-1}$ -colorable. In this paper, we obtain the following result. In the next section, we will give the proof.

**Theorem 1.7.** Every  $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$ -free graph G with clique number  $\omega$ has  $\chi(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right\rceil + \omega$ .

## 2. The Proof of Main Result

For two vertex sets A and B, let  $E(A,B) = \{uv \in E(G) : u \in A \text{ and } v \in B\}$ . We say that A is complete to B, if for any  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ ,  $xy \in E(G)$ . For a given graph G = (V, E), let N(v) denote the neighborhood of  $v \in V(G)$ , and for a subset S of V(G), set  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ . An induced subgraph D of G is called a *dominating D*, if there is  $V(G) \setminus V(D) \subseteq N(V(D))$ . In this paper, for an induced  $P_4$ :  $P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$ , we simply write V(P) as P. First, we give a lemma based on the structure of a  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free graph.



Figure 3. Examples of claw, cricket, dart and gem+.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $P = v_1v_2v_3v_4$  is a dominating  $P_4$  of a  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free graph G, then  $v_3v_3$  is a dominating edge of G.

Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a vertex  $u \notin N(v_2) \bigcup N(v_3)$ . Since P is a dominating  $P_4$ ,  $u \in N(v_1) \bigcup N(v_4)$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $uv_1 \in E(G)$ . If  $uv_4 \in E(G)$ , then  $uv_1v_2v_3v_4u$  would be an induced  $C_5$ . If  $uv_4 \notin E(G)$ , then  $uv_1v_2v_3v_4$  would be an induced  $P_5$ . Either deduces a contradiction.

Next, we show that a subclass of  $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$ -free graphs has a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f(\omega) = \left\lceil \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right\rceil$ . Let  $iK_1 + K_2$  be the graph consisted of one edge and *i* isolated vertices.

**Lemma 2.2.** Every  $(P_5, C_5, 2K_1 + K_2)$ -free graph G with clique number  $\omega$ 

has 
$$\chi(G) \leq \left| \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right|.$$

Apply induction on  $\omega$ . If  $\omega = 1$ , it is obviously true. When  $\omega = 2$ , it is also true because every  $(P_5, C_5, K_3)$ -free graph is a bipartite graph. Moreover, when  $\omega = 3$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $\chi(G) \le 5 = \left\lceil \frac{9}{2} \right\rceil$ . Next, consider the cases  $\omega \ge 4$ . If G is  $P_4$ -free, then G is perfect by Theorem 1.1. So we may suppose that  $P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$  is an induced  $P_4$ . We claim that P is a dominating  $P_4$  of G. Otherwise, there would exist a vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus N(P)$ . Noting that  $P \subseteq N(P)$ ,  $\{u, v_1, v_3, v_4\}$  induces a  $2K_1 + K_2$ , a contradiction. By Lemma 2.1,  $v_2 v_3$  is a dominating edge of G. Next, denote

$$V_{2} = \left\{ v : vv_{2} \in E(G) \text{ and } vv_{3} \notin E(G) \right\} \setminus \left\{ v_{3} \right\},$$
$$V_{3} = \left\{ v : vv_{2} \notin E(G) \text{ and } vv_{3} \in E(G) \right\} \setminus \left\{ v_{2} \right\},$$
$$V_{2,3} = N(v_{2}) \cap N(v_{3}).$$

For clarity, we give this partition in **Figure 4**. Let G[S] denote the subgraph of G induced by S. Clearly,  $G[V_2]$  is  $(P_5, C_5, K_1 + K_2)$ -free. (Otherwise, let  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  be an induced  $K_1 + K_2$  of  $G[V_2]$ . Then  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_3\}$  would induce a  $2K_1 + K_2$ .) By Theorem 1.1,  $G[V_2]$  is perfect. Noting that

 $\omega(G[V_2]) \le \omega - 1$ , we have  $\chi(G[V_2]) \le \omega - 1$ . Similarly,  $\chi(G[V_3]) \le \omega - 1$ . Moreover, there is  $\omega(G[V_{2,3}]) \le \omega - 2$ . By induction,

$$\chi\left(G\left[V_{2,3}\right]\right) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\left(\omega-2\right)^2}{2} \right\rceil$$

Now we color G. Let  $K = \left\{1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right\rceil\right\}$  be a color set. First, we color  $v_2$ 

and  $v_3$  by colors 1 and 2, respectively. Noting that  $E(V_2, \{v_3\}) = \emptyset$ ,  $V_2$  can be colored by  $\{2, 3, \dots, \omega\}$ . Similarly,  $V_3$  can be colored by

 $\{1, \omega+1, \dots, 2\omega-2\}$ . Thus,  $\chi(G[V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \{v_2, v_3\}]) \le 2\omega-2$ . Since  $v_2v_3$  is a dominating edge of *G*,  $V(G) = \{v_2, v_3\} \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \cup V_{2,3}$ . So we have

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi\left(G\left[V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \{v_2, v_3\}\right]\right) + \chi\left(G\left[V_{2,3}\right]\right) \leq 2\omega - 2 + \left|\frac{(\omega - 2)^2}{2}\right| = \left[\frac{\omega^2}{2}\right].$$

Note that the bound given by Lemma 2.2 is tight for  $\omega = 2$ , and  $C_4$  is a  $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$ -free graph with clique number 2 and chromatic number 2.

## Proof of Theorem 1.7

When  $\omega \leq 3$ , it is obviously true. Next, assume that  $\omega \geq 4$ . If G is  $P_4$ -free, then  $\chi(G) = \omega$  by Theorem 1.1. So we may suppose that  $P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$  is an induced  $P_4$  of G. Let  $N_2(P) = N(N(P)) \setminus N(P)$  and  $N_1(P) = N(N_1(P)) \setminus N(P)$ . Moreover, for exhibiting different

 $N_3(P) = N(N_2(P)) \setminus N(P)$ . Moreover, for arbitrary different  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , denote

$$U_{i} = \left\{ v \in N(P) \setminus P : N(v) \cap P = \left\{ v_{i} \right\} \right\},$$
$$U_{i,j} = \left\{ v \in N(P) \setminus P : N(v) \cap P = \left\{ v_{i}, v_{j} \right\} \right\},$$
$$U_{i,j,k} = \left\{ v \in N(P) \setminus P : N(v) \cap P = \left\{ v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k} \right\} \right\},$$
$$A = \left\{ v \in N(P) \setminus P : N(v) \cap P = P \right\}.$$



**Figure 4.** A partition of V(G).

Clearly,  $U_{i,j} = U_{j,i}$  and  $U_{i,k,j} = U_{i,j,k} = U_{j,i,k}$ . Since *G* is  $(P_5, C_5)$ -free,  $U_1 = U_4 = U_{1,4} = \emptyset$ . So

 $A \bigcup U_2 \bigcup U_3 \bigcup U_{1,2} \bigcup U_{1,3} \bigcup U_{2,3} \bigcup U_{2,4} \bigcup U_{3,4} \bigcup U_{1,2,3} \bigcup U_{1,2,4} \bigcup U_{1,3,4} \bigcup U_{2,3,4} = N(P) \setminus P.$ 

The partition is shown in **Figure 5**. Since *G* is  $P_5$ -free, there is no vertex with a distance of 4 to *P*. So we can partition V(G) into N(P),  $N_2(P)$ ,  $N_3(P)$ , and color these sets respectively. Next, we give two claims based on  $N_3(P)$  and  $N_2(P)$ .

**Claim 1**  $N_3(P) = \emptyset$ .

Otherwise, suppose there are vertices  $x_3 \in N_3(P)$  and  $x_2 \in N_2(P)$  such that  $x_2x_3 \in E(G)$ . Let  $u \in N(P) \setminus P$  be a neighbor of  $x_2$ . If  $u \in A$ , then  $\{x_2, u, v_1, v_2, v_4\}$  would induce a cricket, a contradiction. So there exists  $v_i$  and  $v_j$   $(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$  such that  $v_iv_j \in E(G)$ ,  $uv_i \in E(G)$  and  $uv_j \notin E(G)$ . Now  $x_3x_2uv_iv_i$  is an induced  $P_5$ , a contradiction.

**Claim 2** Let T be a connected component of  $G[N_2(P)]$  with  $|V(T)| \ge 2$ , then then at least one vertex of  $U_{2,3}$  is complete to V(T).

First, we show that every edge xy in T has  $N(x) \cap N(P) = N(y) \cap N(P)$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a vertex

 $u \in (N(x) \cap N(P)) \setminus (N(y) \cap N(P))$ . Similar to the proof of Claim 1, there is an induced cricket or induced  $P_5$ , a contradiction. So, for each  $xy \in E(T)$ , x and y have same neighborhood in N(P). By connectivity and transitivity, all vertices in T have same neighborhood in N(P). Then there is at least one vertex, say u, in  $N(P) \setminus P$  such that V(T) is complete to  $\{u\}$ .

Next, we pick an arbitrary edge xy in T. Then xuy is a triangle. If  $u \in U_2 \bigcup U_{1,2}$ , then  $xuv_2v_3v_4$  would be an induced  $P_5$ . And if

 $u \in A \bigcup U_{1,3} \bigcup U_{1,2,3} \bigcup U_{1,3,4}$ , then  $\{x, y, u, v_1, v_3\}$  would induce a cricket. Up to symmetry, there must be  $u \in U_{2,3}$ .

By Claim 2, for an arbitrary connected component T of  $G[N_2(P)]$ , there exists a vertex  $u \in U_{2,3}$  such that  $\{u\}$  is complete to V(T). If there exists  $x, y \in V(T)$  such that  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\{x, y, u, v_2, v_3\}$  would induce a cricket. Thus V(T) is a clique with size at most  $\omega - 1$ , which implies that

$$\chi(G[N_2(P)]) \le \omega - 1. \tag{1}$$

Let G' = G[N(P)]. Note that *P* is a dominating  $P_4$  of *G'*. By Lemma 2.1,  $v_2v_3$  is a dominating edge of *G'*. Thus  $V(G') \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$  can be partitioned into  $\{V_2, V_3, V_{2,3}\}$ , which is defined as in Lemma 2.2. Since *G'* is

 $(P_5, C_5, \text{cricket})$ -free, both  $G[V_2]$  and  $G[V_3]$  are  $(P_5, C_5, K_1 + K_2)$ -free. Thus, by the coloring described in Lemma 2.2, there is

 $\chi \Big( G \Big[ V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \{v_2, v_3\} \Big] \Big) \le 2\omega - 2. \text{ Moreover, noting that } G \Big[ V_{2,3} \Big] \text{ is complete}$ to  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ , we have that  $G \Big[ V_{2,3} \Big]$  is  $(P_5, C_5, 2K_1 + K_2)$ -free and  $\omega \Big( G \Big[ V_{2,3} \Big] \Big) \le \omega - 2.$  By Lemma 2.2,  $\chi \Big( G \Big[ V_{2,3} \Big] \Big) \le \left[ \frac{(\omega - 2)^2}{2} \right].$  Thus,



**Figure 5.** A partition of  $N(P) \setminus P$ .

$$\chi(G') \leq \chi\left(G\left[V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \{v_2, v_3\}\right]\right) + \chi\left(G\left[V_{2,3}\right]\right) \leq 2\omega - 2 + \left[\frac{(\omega - 2)^2}{2}\right] \leq \left[\frac{\omega^2}{2}\right]. (2)$$

By Claim 1,  $V(G) = N(P) \cup N_2(P)$ . Hence, by Inequality (1) and (2), there is

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi(G') + \chi(G[N_2(P)]) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right\rceil + \omega.$$

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- Chudnovsky, M., Robertson, N., Seymour, P. and Thomas, R. (2006) The Strong Perfect Graph Theorem. *Annals of Mathematic*, **164**, 51-229. <u>https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2006.164.51</u>
- [2] Erdös, P. (1959) Graph Theory and Probability. *Classic Papers in Combinatorics*, 11, 34-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1959-003-9</u>
- [3] Gyárfás, A. (1987) Problems from the World Surrounding Perfect Graphs. *Applicationes Mathematicae*, **19**, 413-441. <u>https://doi.org/10.4064/am-19-3-4-413-441</u>
- [4] Esperet, L., Lemoine, L., Maffray, F. and Morel, G. (2013) The Chromatic Number of (*P*<sub>5</sub>, *K*<sub>4</sub>)-Free Graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, **313**, 743-754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2012.12.019
- [5] Randerath, B. and Schiermeyer, I. (2004) Vertex Colouring and Forbidden Subgraphs—A Survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 20, 1-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-003-0540-1</u>
- [6] Chudnovsky, M., Karthick, T., Maceli, P. and Maffray, F. (2020) Coloring Graphs with No Induced Five-Vertex Path or Gem. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 95, 527-542. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22572</u>
- [7] Huang, S. and Karthick, T. (2021) On Graphs with No Induced Five-Vertex Path or

Paraglider. Journal of Graph Theory, 97, 305-323. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22656

- [8] Karthick, T. and Maffray, F. (2016) Vizing Bound for the Chromatic Number on Some Graph Classes. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 32, 1447-1460. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-015-1651-1</u>
- [9] Randerath, B. (1998) The Vizing Bound for the Chromatic Number Based on Forbidden Pairs. Ph.D. Thesis, RWTH Aachen, Shaker Verlag.
- [10] Brause, C., Randerath, B., Schiermeyer, I. and Vumar, E. (2019) On the Chromatic Number of 2*K*<sub>2</sub>-Free Graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **253**, 14-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2018.09.030</u>
- [11] Chudnovsky, M. and Sivaraman, V. (2019) Perfect Divisibility and 2-Divisibility. Journal of Graph Theory, 90, 54-60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22367</u>
- [12] Fouquet, J., Giakoumakis, V., Maire, F. and Thuillier, H. (1995) On Graphs without P<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>5</sub>. Discrete Mathematics, 146, 33-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(94)00155-X
- [13] Schiermeyer, I. (2016) Chromatic Number of P5-Free Graphs: Reed's Conjecture. Discrete Mathematics, 339, 1940-1943. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.11.020</u>
- Brause, C., Doan, T. and Schiermeyer, I. (2016) On the Chromatic Number of (*P*<sub>5</sub>, *K*<sub>2,*t*</sub>)-Free Graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, **55**, 127-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endm.2016.10.032
- [15] Schiermeyer, I. (2017) On the Chromatic Number of (*P*<sub>5</sub>, Windmill)-Free Graphs. *Opuscula Mathematica*, **37**, 609-615. <u>https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2017.37.4.609</u>
- [16] Schiermeyer, I. and Randerath, B. (2019) Polynomial X-Binding Functions and Forbidden Induced Subgraphs: A Survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 35, 1-31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-018-1999-0</u>